IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 2450 of 1993

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

ORAVINCBHAI H PATEL & 11 - Petitioner(s)
Versus
PRINCIPAL & 2 - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :
MR BN RAVAL for Petitioner(s) : 1 - 8.- for Petitioner(s) : 9 - 12.
MR JIVANLAL G SHAH for Respondent(s) : 1,
MR AMIT PATEL, AGP for Respondent(s) : 2 - 3.

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date : 08/03/2007
ORAL JUDGMENT

1. By way of filing the present petition, the petitioners have prayed that the respondents may be permitted to give benefit of Leave Encashment, Medical Allowance, Public Holiday, Vacation, Bonus, Allotment of houses, etc. and to give pensionery

benefits and other benefits to the petitioners.

2. It is the case of the petitioners that the petitioners have been appointed by Nutan Bharati Gram Vidhyapith, Madana-Gadh, District Banaskantha and their appointment orders were issued between July 1989 to July 1991. It is the case of the petitioners that they are entitled to get all the benefits available to the Government servants, even though, they were appointed in Nutan Bharati Gram Vidhyapith.

3. This petition was ordered to be heard with Special Civil Application No. 7157 of 1992. Mr. Patel, learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the respondents submitted that the said Special Civil Application No. 7157 of 1992 was already disposed of on the ground of disposal of identical matters in the said group of matters.

4. Mr. Patel, learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the respondents has pointed out that majority of the grievances of the petitioners have been redressed by the respondents. For

remaining grievances, the petitioners are directed to make representation before the concerned authority. Mr. Patel has also placed on record a copy of the orders by which the identical matters had been disposed of.

5. Considering the aforesaid aspect of the matter, this petition is disposed of by directing the petitioners to make representation with the concerned authority within a period of four weeks from today. If any such representation is made, the concerned authority is directed to decide the same within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of such representation from the petitioner and whatever may be the decision, the same may be communicated to the petitioner.

6. Subject to the aforesaid observations, the petition is disposed of. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

[P.B.MAJMUDAR,J.]

mrpandya*